## **National Institute for Health and Care Excellence**

## NICE Quality Standards Consultation – Obesity prevention and lifestyle weight management in children

Closing date: 5pm – Thursday 7<sup>th</sup> August 2014

Organisation	Nuffield Council on Bioethics	
Title (e.g. Dr, Mr, Ms, Prof)	Mr	
Name	Hugh Whittall	
Job title or role	Director	
Address and post code	28 Bedford Square, London, WC1B 3JS	
Telephone number	020 7681 9619	
Email address	hwhittall@nuffieldbioethics.org	
Please note: comments submitted on the draft quality standard are published on the NICE website.		
Would your organisation like to express an interest in formally supporting this quality standard?   No		
For information about endorsing quality standards please visit <a href="http://www.nice.org.uk/Standards-and-Indicators/Developing-NICE-quality-standards">http://www.nice.org.uk/Standards-and-Indicators/Developing-NICE-quality-standards</a>		

The personal data submitted on this form will be used by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) for the purpose specified. The information will not be passed to any other third party and will be held in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.

Please provide comments on the draft quality standard on the form below, putting each new comment in a new row. When feeding back, please note the section you are commenting on (for example, section 1 Introduction). If commenting on a specific quality statement, please indicate the particular sub-section (for example, statement, measure or audience descriptor). If your comment relates to the standard as a whole then please put 'general'.

In order to guide your comments, please refer to the general points for consideration on the NICE website as well as the specific questions detailed within the quality standard.

Section	Comments
	These comments draw upon the conclusions and recommendations of the Nuffield Council on Bioethics' report ' <i>Public health: ethical issues</i> ' published in 2007 (available at: <a href="www.nuffieldbioethics.org/public-health">www.nuffieldbioethics.org/public-health</a> ). The report starts from the position that the state has a duty to enable everybody to lead a healthy life. It proposes a 'stewardship model' that outlines the ethical principles that should be considered by public health policy makers.  Paragraph numbers in brackets refer to paragraph numbers in the report.
Quality statement 2: Head teachers and chairs of governors, in collaboration with parents and pupils, assess the school environment and ensure that the school's policies encourage children and young people to maintain a healthy	
weight, eat a healthy diet and be physically active.	The stewardship model's emphasis on circumstances that help people to lead healthy lives, especially if they are in vulnerable positions (paragraphs 2.41–2.44), leads to an ethical justification for the state to

Section	Comments
	intervene in schools to achieve a more positive culture towards food, cooking and physical activity. As in many other areas of public health policy, the only way of establishing whether a new policy is likely to lead to improved health is by trialling it.
	The Council recommended that UK Government departments responsible for food, health and education should develop long-term strategies for schools with the aim of preventing obesity, and changing food and exercise culture, accompanied by monitoring and follow up (paragraph 5.36). Whilst we therefore welcome the proposal for schools to assess their environments to develop policies, we would wish to emphasise that the policies should monitored in the long term and assessed for impact in improving health, in order that optimisations or amendments can be made as appropriate.
Quality statement 3: Local authorities and their partners in the community develop a coordinated	The Council concluded that more could be done in the design of urban environments and buildings to reduce the obesogenic nature of the environment and increase the opportunities for people to increase their energy expenditure with ease.
local physical activity strategy to promote the benefits of physical activity, highlight the risks of sedentary behaviour and increase the	We endorse the statement based on our recommendation that planning decisions by central and local government should include the objective of encouraging people to be physically active. This may entail some restrictions of people's freedoms, for instance to drive anywhere they wish to, but these restrictions would be justified in terms of public health benefits (paragraph 5.32).
opportunities for children and young people (and their families and/or	
carers, as appropriate) to be physically active.	

Section	Comments

Closing date: Please forward this electronically by **5pm on Thursday 7<sup>th</sup> August** at the very latest to <a href="QSconsultations@nice.org.uk">QSconsultations@nice.org.uk</a>

**PLEASE NOTE:** The Institute reserves the right to summarise and edit comments received during consultations, or not to publish them at all, where in the reasonable opinion of the Institute, the comments are voluminous, publication would be unlawful or publication would be otherwise inappropriate.